

FINAL
2003 AQMP APPENDIX V

MODELING AND ATTAINMENT DEMONSTRATIONS

AUGUST 2003

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

GOVERNING BOARD

Chair: WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.
Speaker of the Assembly Appointee

Vice Chair: S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS:

FRED AGUIAR
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

HAL BERNSON
Councilmember, City of Los Angeles
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

WILLIAM CRAYCRAFT
Councilmember, City of Mission Viejo
Cities Representative, Orange County

BEATRICE LAPISTO-KIRTLEY
Councilmember, City of Bradbury
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

LEONARD PAULITZ
Councilmember, City of Montclair
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

JAMES SILVA
Supervisor, Second District
Orange County Representative

CYNTHIA VERDUGO –PERALTA
Governor's Appointee

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY R. WALLERSTEIN, D.Env.

CONTRIBUTORS

Elaine Chang, DrPH
Deputy Executive Officer
Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources

Laki Tisopulos, Ph.D., P.E.
Assistant Deputy Executive Officer
Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources

Zorik Pirveysian
Planning & Rules Manager
Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources

Joseph Cassmassi	Satoru Mitsutomi
Mark Bassett	Chris Nelson
Julia Lester	Mary Woods
Bong-Mann Kim	Zhang, Xinqiu

Production

Faye Thomas

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Robert Fletcher
Division Chief
Technical Support Division

John DaMassa
Branch Chief

Cynthia Marvin
Branch Chief

Bruce Jackson
Daniel Chau
Paul Allen
Don Johnson

Sylvia Oey
Joe Calavita

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 Modeling Overview

Introduction.....	V - 1- 1
Modeling Methodology	V - 1- 2
PM ₁₀	V - 1- 2
Ozone	V - 1- 3
Background.....	V - 1- 3
Preliminary Future Year Simulation.....	V - 1- 6
Independent Review	V - 1- 7
Meterological Episode Selection	V - 1- 10
Carbon Monoxide	V - 1- 11
Document Organization.....	V - 1- 12

CHAPTER 2 Revision to the Federal PM10 Attainment Demonstration Plan and Visibility Assessment

Introduction.....	V- 2- 1
Ambient Data Characterization and PTEP	V- 2- 2
Annual Average Concentrations.....	V- 2- 3
24-Hour Average Concentrations	V- 2- 7
Modeling Approach	V- 2- 9
UAMAERO-LT	V- 2- 11
UAMAERO-LT Model Inputs	V- 2- 12
Modeling Domain.....	V- 2- 13
Boundary, Top and Initial Air Quality Concentrations.....	V- 2- 13
Future Boundary, Top and Initial Air Quality Conditions	V- 2- 14
Meteorological Inputs.....	V- 2- 14
Rain Days.....	V- 2- 19
9-Cell Averaging	V- 2- 20
Linear Rollback For 24-Hour Average Maximum Concentrations.....	V- 2- 20
Emissions Inventory.....	V- 2- 21
Emissions Uncertainties.....	V- 2- 22
UAMAERO-LT	V- 2- 11
Paved Road Dust.....	V- 2- 22
Unpaved Road Dust.....	V- 2- 23
Fugitive Wind Blown Dust.....	V- 2- 24
Construction Dust.....	V- 2- 26
Base-Year Simulations.....	V- 2- 28
PM10 Component Species Performance Evaluation for the PTEP	
Sites.....	V- 2- 29

UAMAERO-LT Component Species Model Performance Evaluation.....	V- 2- 30
Annual Average SSI Mass Performance Evaluation	V- 2- 31
1995 UAMAERO-LT Grid-Cell Performance Evaluation.....	V- 2- 46
PM _{2.5} Component Species Performance Evaluation for the PTEP Sites.....	V- 2- 47
Future Air Quality	V- 2- 47
PM ₁₀ Control Strategy	V- 2- 48
PM ₁₀ in the Year 2006	V- 2- 49
Annual PM ₁₀ By Component Species for the PTEP Sites.....	V- 2- 49
Annual PM ₁₀ By Total Mass at the SSI Sites	V- 2- 49
UAMAERO-LT Grid-Level Simulation: 2006 Controlled Emissions	V- 2- 50
2006 UAMAERO-LT Hot Spot Grid Weight of Evidence Analysis	V- 2- 51
Maximum 24-Hr Average PM ₁₀	V- 2- 56
PM ₁₀ in the Year 2010	V- 2- 57
Annual PM ₁₀	V- 2- 57
Maximum 24-Hr Average PM ₁₀	V- 2- 58
PM _{2.5} in the Year 2010	V- 2- 58
Annual PM _{2.5}	V- 2- 58
Maximum 24-Hr Average PM _{2.5}	V- 2- 59
PM ₁₀ in the Year 2010 With Alternative Control Options	V- 2- 60
Conclusions (Particulates)	V- 2- 61
Visibility.....	V- 2- 63
Background	V- 2- 63
Visibility Modeling.....	V- 2- 63
Prior Visibility Modeling Results.....	V- 2- 64
Predicted Future Air Quality.....	V- 2- 66
Future Visibility Projections.....	V- 2- 67
Riverside Future Mean Visibilities.....	V- 2- 67
Future Light Extinction Budgets at Riverside	V- 2- 69
Conclusions (Visibility).....	V- 2- 70

CHAPTER 3 Revision to the 1997 Ozone Attainment Demonstration Plan

Introduction.....	V - 3- 1
Model Selection	V - 3- 2
Federal 1-Hour Ozone Standard Requirements.....	V - 3- 3
California Requirements and Population Exposure.....	V - 3- 3
Emissions Summary.....	V - 3- 5
Introduction.....	V - 3- 5
Historical Baseline Emissions	V - 3- 6

Future Baseline Emissions	V - 3- 7
Future Controlled Emissions	V - 3- 7
Episode Selection	V - 3- 7
Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS97)	V - 3- 9
Statistical Episode Ranking	V - 3- 10
Horie Classification	V - 3- 12
Episodes Compared in the 2002 "Mid-Course" Assessment.....	V - 3- 12
Episode Characterization	V - 3- 13
Background	V - 3- 13
Synoptic Setting	V - 3- 14
Mesoscale Meteorology	V - 3- 15
Air Quality Profile	V - 3- 16
Modeling Methodology	V - 3- 17
Model Input Preparation	V - 3- 18
Modeling Domain	V - 3- 19
Boundary, Top and Initial Air Quality Concentrations	V - 3- 19
Future Boundary, Top and Initial Air Quality Conditions	V - 3- 19
Meteorological Scalars	V - 3- 20
Meteorological Models	V - 3- 21
Temperature Fields	V - 3- 22
Mixing Height Fields	V - 3- 23
Gridded Wind Fields.....	V - 3- 27
Model Input Evaluation	V - 3- 29
Temperature Fields	V - 3- 29
Mixing Height Fields	V - 3- 29
Wind Fields.....	V - 3- 39
1997 Base-year performance Evaluation	V - 3- 40
Statistical Evaluation	V - 3- 41
Graphical Evaluation	V - 3- 45
Effect of Emissions Uncertainties.....	V - 3- 67
Mid-Course Ozone Air Quality Evaluation	V - 3- 69
Ozone Air Quality Projections.....	V - 3- 75
Projection of 2007 Air Quality	V - 3- 75
Projection of 2010 Air Quality	V - 3- 81
Projection of 8-Hour Average Ozone Air Quality for 2010.....	V - 3- 94
Projection of 2010 Air Quality for Alternate Emissions Scenarios.....	V - 3- 95
Sensitivity Simulations	V - 3- 96
Conclusions.....	V - 3- 96

**CHAPTER 4 Revision to the Federal Carbon Monoxide
Attainment Demonstration Plan**

Introduction..... V – 4- 1

Carbon Monoxide Emissions..... V – 4- 2

 Introduction..... V – 4- 2

 Planning Inventory..... V – 4- 3

 VMT Forecast..... V – 4- 3

 Emissions Projection V – 4- 4

 Planning Emissions Inventory V – 4- 5

Modeling Methodology V – 4- 6

 Introduction..... V – 4- 6

 Regional Modeling Analysis V – 4- 7

 Episode Selection..... V – 4- 7

 Episode Characterization V – 4- 8

 Synoptic Setting..... V – 4- 9

 Mesoscale Setting V – 4- 9

 Air Quality Setting..... V – 4- 11

 Model Selection V – 4- 12

 Meteorological Modeling and Input Fields V – 4- 12

 Trajectory Analysis..... V – 4- 14

 CAMx Initial and Boundary Conditions V – 4- 14

 Base Year Emissions V – 4- 14

 Day-of-Week Diurnal Traffic Patterns V – 4- 14

 Base Year Model Performance..... V – 4- 17

 Hot Spot Analysis V – 4- 24

Carbon Monoxide Control Strategy..... V – 4- 26

 Contingency Measures..... V – 4- 26

Future Air Quality Projections..... V – 4- 27

 Introduction..... V – 4- 27

 Emissions V – 4- 28

 Modeling Results V – 4- 28

 CAMx Regional Simulation V – 4- 28

 Linear Rollback of CAMx Simulation Results..... V – 4- 29

 CAL3QHC Modeling Results..... V – 4- 31

Conclusion V – 4- 32

REFERENCES

Hot Spot Analysis

The hot-spot analysis was performed using CAL3QHC. CAL3QHC is a model developed to predict the level of CO or other inert pollutant concentration emitted from motor vehicles at roadway intersections. CAL3QHC inputs include roadway geometry, receptor locations, meteorological conditions and vehicular emissions rate. A general description of the selection of the hot spot intersection, model input assumptions, and model application was presented in the 1992 CO Plan and is not repeated here.

The CAL3QHC model was applied to the four intersections listed in Table 4-7 to estimate the CO impacts from motor vehicles traveling at roadway intersections. CO concentrations were estimated for both the 1997 base year and for the year 2002 based on projected traffic volume and emission factors. The October 31-November 1, 1997 episode specific meteorological conditions for the grid cell hosting the intersection was used for the simulation. Tables 4-8, 4-9, and 4-10 show the model predicted and calculated CO concentration at the selected intersection in the years 1997 and 2002.

TABLE 4-7
Selected Intersections for the CAL3QHC
Hot Spot Modeling Analysis

Intersection	Receptor	Description
Long Beach Blvd. /Imperial Highway	Lynwood Air Monitoring Station	The Lynwood air monitoring stations consistently records the highest 8-hour CO concentrations in the Basin each year
Wilshire Blvd./ Veteran Ave.	No Air Monitoring	The most congested intersection in Los Angeles county. The average daily traffic volume is about 100,000 vehicles/day.
Highland Ave./ Sunset Blvd.	No Air Monitoring Station	One of the most congested intersections in the city of Los Angeles. The intersection study has been conducted and traffic data is available.
Century Blvd./ La Cienega Blvd.	No Air Monitoring Station	One of the most congested intersections in the city of Los Angeles. The intersection study has been conducted and traffic data is available.

TABLE 4 -8
Emissions Predicted by EMFAC2002 in Year 1997 and 2002

	<u>Wilshire - Veteran</u>		<u>Sunset - Highland</u>		<u>La Cienega - Century</u>		<u>Long Beach - Imperial</u>	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
a) EMFAC2002 Emission Variables (1997)								
Running Exhaust Emission Factor (g/mile)	11.57	11.96	13.31	12.72	11.82	11.66	11.92	11.93
Idling Emission Factor (g/min)	2.13	2.18	2.43	2.32	2.19	2.15	2.22	2.18
b) EMFC2002 Emission Variables (2002)								
Running Exhaust Emission Factor (g/mile)	7.20	7.21	7.22	7.98	7.31	7.24	7.35	7.48
Idling Emission Factor (g/min)	1.24	1.24	1.25	1.30	1.27	1.25	1.28	1.28

TABLE 4-9
1997 1-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations
Calculated from the CAL3QHC Model

	Morning [*]	Afternoon ⁺	Peak ⁺⁺
Wilshire - Veteran	7.7	5.7	--
Sunset - Highland	6.9	7.3	--
La Cienega - Century	6.4	5.2	--
Long Beach - Imperial	5.1	5.2	2.2

* Morning : 7-8 a.m. for La Cienega - Century, 11-12 a.m. for Sunset - Highland, 8-9 for Wilshire-Veteran, and 7-8 a.m. for Long Beach - Imperial

+ Afternoon : 3-4 p.m. for Sunset - Highland, 3-4 p.m. for Wilshire - Veteran, 4-5 p.m. for Long Beach - Imperial, and 6-7 p.m. for La Cienega - Century

++ Peak : 11-12 p.m. (concentration at the hour of the observed peak). Peak is only provided for the Long Beach/Imperial intersection since it is intersection associated with the regional peak at Lynwood.

TABLE 4-10
 Year 2002 1-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations
 Calculated from the CAL3QHC Model

	Morning*	Afternoon ⁺	Peak ⁺⁺
Wilshire-Veteran	4.6	3.5	--
Sunset-Highland	4.0	4.5	--
La Cienega-Century	3.7	3.1	--
Long Beach-Imperial	3.0	3.1	1.2

- * Morning : 7-8 a.m. for, La Cienega - Century, 8-9 a.m. for Wilshire - Veteran, 7-8 a.m. for Long Beach - Imperial, and 8-9 a.m. for Sunset - Highland
- + Afternoon : 3-4 p.m. for Sunset - Highland, 5-6 p.m. for Wilshire - Veteran, 4-5 p.m. and Long Beach - Imperial, and. 6-7 p.m. for and La Cienega - Century
- ++ Peak : 11-12 p.m. (concentration at the hour of the observed peak)). Peak is only provided for the Long Beach/Imperial intersection since it is intersection associated with the regional peak at Lynwood.

CARBON MONOXIDE CONTROL STRATEGY

Mobile sources, which are regulated primarily by ARB or U.S. EPA, produce the largest amount of carbon monoxide emissions in the Basin. The on-road motor vehicle control strategy is primarily based on adopted regulations, such as the 1990 ARB Low-Emission Vehicles and Clean Fuels (LEV/Clean Fuels) regulations, Phase 2 Reformulated Gasoline Program, oxygenated fuel regulation, and enhancements to the Inspection and Maintenance (I/M) or Smog Check program. The emission reduction resulting from these already adopted regulations are sufficient to demonstrate attainment in the year 2002, as discussed in a later section.

Contingency Measures

Section 187(a)(3) of the 1990 CAAA requires that adopted and enforceable contingency measures be included in the attainment plan submission. A deviation from the forecasted VMT of more than a given percentage will trigger implementation of contingency measures to offset either excess VMT or carbon monoxide emissions due to the additional VMT. According to the EPA General Preamble [Sect. 532(c)(1)], this percentage is 5 percent in 1994, 4 percent in 1995, and 3 percent for 1996 and subsequent years. The cumulative VMT growth cannot be greater than or equal to 5 percent above the VMT forecast used as the basis of the attainment demonstration.