CITY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles

COUNCIL DISTRICT CD 3 - BOB BLUMENFIELD

PROJECT TITLE

CASE NO.

DOCUMENT FILED City Clerk's Office NG-18-053-PL Certified by

ENV-2016-3865-MND CPC-2016-3866-VZCJ-SPR PROJECT LOCATION

7111 N WINNETKA AVE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project involves the demolition of an existing, one-story, 21,489 square-foot medical building and associated parking lot, and the construction of a new, four-story, 154 unit apartment building. The floor area of the building is 162,559 square-feet on two parcels with a total combined area 75,695 square-feet. A total of 200 vehicular parking spaces will be provided on the ground level and within one level of subterranean parking. The Project requires the cut and export of approximately 21,500 cubic yards of soil. There are no trees on-site, however, there are eight (8) trees located in the public right-of-way. The project will require the removal of four (4) trees, none of which are considered protected trees.

As the project is situated along two streets, the buildings are sited to provide pedestrian-oriented entrances along both Winnetka Avenue and Gault Street.

The project involves a request for a Vesting Zone Change from the (Q)C2-1VL-RIO and P-1VL-RIO Zones to (Q)RAS4-1VL-RIO and a Site Plan Review pursuant to LAMC Section 16.05.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY

George Shakiban

23945 Calabasa Road #101

Calabasas, CA 91302

FINDING:

The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance

(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-make may adopt the mitigated negative declariation, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.

NAME OF PERSON PR	EPARING THIS FORM	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER
MICHELLE LEVY		Senior City Planner	(818) 374-9907
ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (Official)	10-91-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	DATE

200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012

9/19/2018

I-120. Aesthetics (Light)

- Environmental impacts to the adjacent residential properties may result due to excessive illumination on the project site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- Outdoor lighting shall be designed and installed with shielding, such that the light source cannot be seen from adjacent residential properties, the public right-of-way, nor from above.

XII-20. Increased Noise Levels (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

•

- Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.
- Demolition and construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.
- The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.
- The construction contractor shall use on-site electrical sources or solar generators to power equipment rather than diesel generators where feasible.

XIV-20. Public Services (Police – Demolition/Construction Sites)

•

• Temporary construction fencing shall be placed along the periphery of the active construction areas to screen as much of the construction activity from view at the local street level and to keep unpermitted persons from entering the construction area.

XIV-30. Public Services (Police)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area having marginal police services. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The plans shall incorporate the design guidelines relative to security, semi-public and private spaces, which may include but not be limited to access control to building, secured parking facilities, walls/fences with key systems, well-illuminated public and semi-public space designed with a minimum of dead space to eliminate areas of concealment, location of toilet facilities or building entrances in high-foot traffic areas, and provision of security guard patrol throughout the project site if needed. Please refer to "Design Out Crime Guidelines: Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design", published by the Los Angeles Police Department. Contact the Community Relations Division, located at 100 W. 1st Street, #250, Los Angeles, CA 90012; (213) 486-6000. These measures shall be approved by the Police Department prior to the issuance of building permits.

XVI-40. Safety Hazards

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to hazards to safety from design features (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses. However, the potential impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The developer shall install appropriate traffic signs around the site to ensure pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle safety.
- The applicant shall submit a parking and driveway plan that incorporates design features that reduce accidents, to the Bureau of Engineering and the Department of Transportation for approval.

XVI-50. Inadequate Emergency Access

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to inadequate emergency access. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The applicant shall submit a parking and driveway plan to the Bureau of Engineering and the Department of Transportation for approval that provides code-required emergency access.

XVI-80. Transportation/Traffic

- The project will result in impacts to transportation and/or traffic systems. However, the impact can be reduced to a less than significant level though compliance with the following measure(s):
- Applicant shall plan construction and construction staging as to maintain pedestrian access on adjacent sidewalks
 throughout all construction phases. This requires the applicant to maintain adequate and safe pedestrian
 protection, including physical separation (including utilization of barriers such as K-Rails or scaffolding, etc.) from
 work space and vehicular traffic and overhead protection, due to sidewalk closure or blockage, at all times.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 2 of 57

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION ENV-2016-3865-MND

- Temporary pedestrian facilities should be adjacent to the project site and provide safe, accessible routes that replicate as nearly as practical the most desirable characteristics of the existing facility.
- Covered walkways shall be provided where pedestrians are exposed to potential injury from falling objects.
- Applicant shall keep sidewalk open during construction until only when it is absolutely required to close or block sidewalk for construction staging. Sidewalk shall be reopened as soon as reasonably feasible taking construction and construction staging into account.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 3 of 57

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

INITIAL STUDY and CHECKLIST

(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT: CD 3 - BOB BLUMENFIELD	DATE:
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Pl	inning	
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2016-3865-MND	RELATED CASES: CPC-2016-3866-VZCJ-SPR	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:	 Does have significant changes from previous actions. Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions 	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A 154 UNIT MULTI-RESIDENTIAL APARTMENT W WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW.	ITH A CHANGE OF ZONE FROM (Q) C2-1VL-RIC	(12.14) TO RAS4 (12.11.05)

ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project involves the demolition of an existing, one-story, 21,489 square-foot medical building and associated parking lot, and the construction of a new, four-story, 154 unit apartment building. The floor area of the building is 162,559 square-feet on two parcels with a total combined area 75,695 square-feet. A total of 200 vehicular parking spaces will be provided on the ground level and within one level of subterranean parking. The Project requires the cut and export of approximately 21,500 cubic yards of soil. There are no trees on-site, however, there are eight (8) trees located in the public right-of-way. The project will require the removal of four (4) trees, none of which are considered protected trees.

As the project is situated along two streets, the buildings are sited to provide pedestrian-oriented entrances along both Winnetka Avenue and Gault Street.

The project involves a request for a Vesting Zone Change from the (Q)C2-1VL-RIO and P-1VL-RIO Zones to (Q)RAS4-1VL-RIO and a Site Plan Review pursuant to LAMC Section 16.05.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS:

The subject property consists of two generally level lots, that encompass approximately 75,695 square feet in area (74,343 square-feet in area after street dedications) with street frontages of approximately 270 feet along Winnetka Avenue and 280 feet along Gault Street. It is located on the southeastern corner of a block consisting of various commercial, residential, and multi-family uses. The site is designated for Community Commercial uses and zoned (Q)C2-1VL-RIO and P-1VL-RIO. The subject property lies within the Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills Community Plan Area.

The subject property is currently developed with a 21,489 square-foot, vacant, medical building, built in 1979. Properties to the north are zoned C2-1VL-RIO, P-1VL, OS-1XL, and C4-1VL, and are developed with an auto repair center, auto sales, retail storefronts, restaurants, a remnant landscape median right-of-way, fast food restaurant, discount store, pharmacy, office building, and a Middle School. Properties to the east are zoned RA-1-RIO, QP-1VL-RIO, C2-1VL-RIO, and R1-1-RIO, and are developed with a church and preschool, a fast food restaurant, and single-family residences. Properties to the west are zoned RS-1-RIO, (Q)C2-1VL-RIO, C2-1VL, and are developed with single-family residences, a public storage building, and an apartment building. Properties to the south are zoned RS-1-RIO and are developed with single-family dwellings and a church.

Winnetka Avenue, adjoining the subject property to the east, is a designated Boulevard II Street dedicated to a width of 100 feet and improved with asphalt roadway, concrete gutters, curbs, sidewalks, and tree wells with street trees. Gault Street, adjoining the subject property to the south, is a designated Local Street dedicated to a width of 59 feet and improved with asphalt roadway, concrete gutters, curbs, sidewalks, and tree wells with street trees.

The subject property is located within the River Implementation Overlay, a 500-foot School Zone (John A. Sutter Middle School), Liquefaction Zone, and within 11.4 kilometers of the Santa Susana Fault. There are no other known geological or natural hazards associated with the subject property. Fire protection service is provided by Fire Station 72, Battalion 17 of the Los Angeles Fire

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 4 of 57

Department. Police services are provided by Reporting District 2148, Topanga Division, Valley Bureau of the Los Angeles Police Department.						
PROJECT LOCATION: 7111 N WINNETKA AVE						
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: CANOGA PARK - WINNETKA - WOODLAND HILLS - WEST HILLS STATUS:	AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: SOUTH VALLEY	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: WINNETKA				
✓ Does Conform to Plan □ Does NOT Conform to Plan						
EXISTING ZONING: (Q)C2-1VL-RIO P-1VL-RIO	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: None per existing Q					
GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL	MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: 1 d.u. / 400 s.f. (189 d.u.)	LA River Adjacent:				
	PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: 154 d.u.					

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 5 of 57

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

	I find that the propose DECLARATION will be	d project COULD NOT have a significant effect o e prepared.	n the environment, and a NEGATIVE
✓	significant effect in this	proposed project could have a significant effect s case because revisions on the project have been FED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared	en made by or agreed to by the project
	I find the proposed pro REPORT is required.	eject MAY have a significant effect on the enviror	nment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
	impact on the environi pursuant to applicable analysis as described	oject MAY have a "potentially significant impact" of ment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequar legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPot ts that remain to be addressed.	tely analyzed in an earlier document mitigation measures based on earlier
	significant effects (a) happlicable standards,	e proposed project could have a significant effect have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant ding revisions or mitigation measures that are im	or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE
/hn		Senior City Planner	(818) 374-9907
	Signature	Title	Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less that significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 6 of 57

- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 7 of 57

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

7111 North Winnetka Avenue

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

✓ AESTHETICS □ AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES □ AIR QUALITY □ BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES □ CULTURAL RESOURCES □ GEOLOGY AND SOILS		GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY LAND USE AND PLANNING MINERAL RESOURCES NOISE		POPULATION AND HOUSING PUBLIC SERVICES RECREATION TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be co	nmnle	ted by the Lead City	/ Agei	nev)	
Background	Jiipie	ted by the Lead Oil	Agei	noy)	
PROPONENT NAME:				PHONE NUMBER:	
George Shakiban				(818) 906-7449	
APPLICANT ADDRESS:					
23945 Calabasa Road #101					
Calabasas, CA 91302					
AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:				DATE SUBMITTED:	
Department of City Planning				10/11/2016	
PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):					

Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

1. /	AESTHETICS				
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			V	
ο.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			1	
Э.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			~	
ı.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?		V		
I	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES				
۱.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?				Y
ο.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	=			V
Э.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?		-		V
i.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				V
€.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				~
II.	AIR QUALITY				1
١.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			V	
ο.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			V	
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			~	
ı.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			V	
٠.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			V	
٧.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES		L		
а.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			~	
) .	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				V
	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				~
l.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				~
	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				V
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				~

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 9 of 57

		Potentially significant impact	with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?			✓	
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?			V	
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			Y	
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			Y	
VI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS				
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				*
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?			~	
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			V	
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?				~
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			V	
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			1	
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?			~	
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			V	
VI	I. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS				
a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			Y	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			V	
-	II. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS				
	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			V	
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			V	
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			V	

Less than significant

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 10 of 57

d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or

f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
 g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency

working in the project area?

response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

	Potentially significant impact	Less than significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant	No impact
- 1	impact	Incorporated	impact	No impact

Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			V
X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY			
Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?		V	
Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?		~	
Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?		V	
Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?		V	
Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?		V	
Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			V
Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			~
Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			~
Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			~
. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			V
. LAND USE AND PLANNING		1	
Physically divide an established community?		V	1
Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?		V	
Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?			V
I. MINERAL RESOURCES			
Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?			~
Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?			~
III. NOISE			
Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			9
Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	1		
A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		V	
. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 11 of 57

		Potentially significant impact	significant with mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				*
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				V
XII	I. POPULATION AND HOUSING				The Company of the Co
	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			Y	
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				~
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				~
XI	/. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?			*	
b.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?		~		
c.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?			~	
d.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			~	
e.	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			V	
X	RECREATION				
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			V	
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			V	
X	I. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				
a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			_	

Less than significant

Page 12 of 57 ENV-2016-3865-MND

		impact	incorporated	impact	No impact
	· ·				1
b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?			V	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				Y
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?		V		
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				V
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				*
_	II. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES				
a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or				*
	resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				•
_	III. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS				
	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			V	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			V	
C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			V	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			✓	
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			V	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			V	
	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			Y	
-	C. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			~	

Less than significant with

mitigation

Less than

significant

Potentially

significant

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 13 of 57

		impact	incorporated	impact	No impact
				-	
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			*	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		V		

Less than significant

with

mitigation

Less than

significant

Potentially

significant

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 14 of 57

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as ENV-2016-3865-MND and the associated case(s), CPC-2016-3866-VZCJ-SPR. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) will not:

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

<u>For City information, addresses and phone numbers:</u> visit the City's website at http://www.lacity.org; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/

Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
MICHELLE LEVY	Senior City Planner	(818) 374-9907	08/20/2018

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 15 of 57

Mitigation
Impact? Explanation Measures

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

I. AESTHETICS

LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista. A scenic vista refers to views of focal points or panoramic views of broader geographic areas that have visual interest. A focal point view would consist of a view of a notable object, building, or setting. An impact on a scenic vista would occur if the bulk or design of a building or development contrasts enough with a visually interesting view, so that the quality of the view is permanently affected. The project site is located in the northeastern portion of the Canoga Park-Winnetka-Woodland Hills-West Hills Community Plan in the Winnetka neighborhood. The area has a pattern of low and medium residential uses and commercial uses. Sherman Wav functions as a long narrow corridor of commercial activity; the subject site is located near a node of this corridor -the area around the intersection of Sherman Way and Winnetka Avenue. Further west of the site is a north-south corridor along Canoga Avenue which consists of industrial uses along a portion and regional commercial uses along the remainder, in an area known as a Warner Center. In the vicinity of the project site, the residential neighborhoods are developed with one- and two-story residential dwellings, one-story commercial buildings, and two- and three-story multifamily residential buildings. The project proposes a structure which is four-stories tall which would be taller than adjacent structures but would not obstruct any views of unique scenic vistas or focal points. Therefore, impacts related to scenic vistas would be less than significant. Development of the proposed project would result in an incremental intensification of existing prevailing land uses in an already urbanized area of Los Angeles. Furthermore, development of the project and related projects is expected to occur in accordance with adopted plans and regulations. Therefore,

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 16 of 57

		cumulative aesthetic impacts would be	
-	LEGG THAN CLONIFICANT IMPACT	less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially damage scenic resources within a State Scenic Highway such as trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings. The Caltrans Scenic Highway Program does not identify any State-designated Scenic Highways around the project site. However, the City of Los Angeles's General Plan Mobility Element indicates that the nearby segment of Sherman Way is a City-designated scenic highway, approximately 330 feet from the subject property. The proposed project, a four-story residential structure, would be visible from Sherman Way; however, the site does not have any historic or	
		significant trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings. Therefore, aesthetic impacts would be less than significant.	
c. I	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. Significant impacts to the visual character of a site and its surroundings are generally based on the removal of features with aesthetic value, the introduction of contrasting urban features into a local area, and the degree to which the elements of the proposed project detract from the visual character of an area. The project area is comprised of a mix of low-rise multi-family residential buildings and commercial buildings, generally built up to two- to three-stories in height. While the proposed project massing exceeds the existing prevailing development pattern, design strategies are incorporated to ensure the project complements the surrounding built context and existing visual character of the area. The proposed project would include design features and landscaping improvements to enhance the visual quality of the area. All design elements, including off-street parking facilities, loading areas, lighting, landscaping, trash collection, and other such pertinent improvements have been designed to be compatible with existing and future development on adjacent properties and neighboring properties. The Project will provide pedestrian-oriented residential	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation Measures

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 17 of 57

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures .
		entrances, massing articulation, off-street parking, bicycle parking and landscaping to enhance this area. The project creates a strong street wall along Winnetka Avenue, as this is the commercial corridor, while providing massing which emphasizes individual units along Gault Street. The building incorporates a modulated façade, varied rooflines, a variety of building materials, textures, colors to add architectural interest to the building. Automobile entry to the site remains along Winnetka Avenue. Accordingly, the proposed project would not degrade the existing visual character or quality of the project site and its surroundings. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact on visual quality.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH	A significant impact would occur if	I-120
G.	MITIGATION INCORPORATED	light and glare substantially altered the character of off-site areas surrounding the site or interfered with the performance of an off-site activity. Light impacts are typically associated with the use of artificial light during the evening and night-time hours. Glare may be a daytime occurrence caused by the reflection of sunlight or artificial light from highly polished surfaces, such as window glass and reflective cladding materials, and may interfere with the safe operation of a motor vehicle on adjacent streets. Daytime glare is common in urban areas and is typically associated with mid- to high-rise buildings with exterior façades largely or entirely comprised of highly reflective glass or mirror-like materials. Nighttime glare is primarily associated with bright point-source lighting that contrasts with existing low ambient light conditions. Due to the urbanized nature of the area, a moderate level of ambient nighttime light already exists. Nighttime lighting sources include street lights, vehicle headlights, and interior and exterior building illumination. The project would include nighttime security lighting primarily along the perimeter of the project site. However, the lighting would be required to be shielded such that the light source cannot be seen from adjacent properties. As such, the	Reducing the opportunity for glare and illumination will reduce the impacts on nearby residents.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 18 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		impacts due to excessive illumination will be mitigated to a less than significant impact.	
II. A	GRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOU	RCES	
	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would convert valued farmland to non-agricultural uses. No Farmland, agricultural uses, or related operations are present within the project site or surrounding area. Due to its urban setting, the project site and surrounding area are not included in the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, the proposed project would not convert any Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricultural use, and no impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing agricultural zoning or agricultural parcels enrolled under the Williamson Act. The project site is not zoned for agricultural use or under a Williamson Contract. As the project site and surrounding area do not contain farmland of any type, the proposed project would not conflict with a Williamson Contract. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
C.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland, or resulted in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project conflicted with existing zoning or caused rezoning of forest land or timberland, or resulted in the loss of forest land or in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The project site and the surrounding area are not zoned for forest land or timberland. Accordingly, the proposed project would not conflict with forest land or timberland zoning or result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest	

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	1	use. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project caused the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. The project site does not contain farmland, forestland, or timberland. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
III. A	AIR QUALITY	3	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) is the agency primarily responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the South Coast Air Basin and reducing emissions from area and point stationary, mobile, and indirect sources. SCAQMD prepared the 2012 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) to meet federal and state ambient air quality standards. A significant air quality impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the AQMP or would in some way represent a substantial hindrance to employing the policies or obtaining the goals of that plan. The proposed project is not expected to conflict with or obstruct the implementation of the AQMP and SCAQMD rules. The proposed project is also subject to the City's Green Building Program Ordinance (Ord. No. 179,890), which was adopted to reduce the use of natural resources, create healthier living environments, and minimize the negative impacts of development on local, regional	
		and global ecosystems. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. An Air Quality Assessment for the project site was prepared by Meridian Consultants LLC July 2017 (see attachment). Project construction and operation emissions were estimated using California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant emissions associated with both construction and operations from land use projects. The results are shown in Table 4, 5, and 7. According to Table 4 (Maximum Construction Emissions), the project will not exceed the SCAQMD Threshold for VOCs, NOx, CO, Sox, PM10, or PM2.5 during the construction phase in either summer or	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 20 of 57

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	winter. Therefore, regional emission impacts for the proposed project would be less than significant for all construction phases. According to Table 5 (Maximum Operational Emissions), the project would not exceed the SCAQMD established operational significance thresholds. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to regional operational emissions. The project would be subject to regulatory compliance measures, which reduce the impacts of operational and construction regional emissions.	
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The project will produce fugitive dust and mobile source emissions as a result of construction activity. The proposed	
	project and the entire Los Angeles metropolitan area are located within the South Coast Air Basin, which is characterized by relatively poor air quality.	
	The Basin is currently classified as a federal and State non-attainment area for Ozone (O3), Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5), and lead (Pb)	
	and a federal attainment/maintenance area for Carbon Monoxide (CO). It is classified as a State attainment area for	
	CO, and it currently meets the federal and State standards for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2), Sulfur Oxides (SOX), and lead (Pb). Because the Basin is designated as	
	a State and/or federal nonattainment air basin for O3, PM10, PM2.5, and NO2, there is an on-going regional cumulative impact associated with these pollutants.	
	However, an individual project can emit these pollutants without significantly contributing to this cumulative impact	
	depending on the magnitude of emissions. This magnitude is determined by the project-level significance thresholds established by the SCAQMD.	•
	The project would be subject to regulatory compliance measures, which reduce the impacts of operational and construction	
	regional emissions. A project of this size, a 154-unit apartment building, would not likely exceed the project-level SCAQMD	
	localized significance thresholds for criteria air pollutants and the impact would be less than significant.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 21 of 57

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures

d. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based on the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, a significant impact may occur if a project were to generate pollutant concentrations to a degree that would significantly affect sensitive receptors. The SCAQMD identifies the following as sensitive receptors: long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. The SCAQMD has developed localized significance thresholds (LSTs) that are based on the amount of maximum daily localized construction emissions per day that can be generated by a project that would cause or contribute to adverse localized air quality impacts. These apply to projects that are less than or equal to five acres in size and are only applicable to Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5), Carbon Monoxide (CO), and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx). An Air Quality Assessment for the project site was prepared by Meridian Consulants LLC July 2017 (see attachment). The Assessment quantifies and analyzes the localized air quality impacts associated with the project construction. The site is located in SCAQMD Sensitive Receptor Area (SRA) No. 6, is located on a site that is 1.78 acres, and the project is below the thresholds for construction and operation emissions in pounds per day as a function of receptor distance (25 meters or 82.02 feet) from the project site boundary. According to the Assessment, the proposed project would not exceed the appropriate significance threshold for localized emissions of Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5), Carbon Monoxide (CO), and Nitrogen Oxides (NOx). Therefore, localized emission impacts for the proposed project would be less than significant for all construction phases and the proposed project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial localized criteria pollutant emissions during construction. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has published guidance for locating new sensitive receptors (e.g., residences) away from nearby sources of air pollution. Relevant recommendations include avoiding siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway or 300 feet of a large gas

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 22 of 57

station (defined as a facility with a

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	impact:	Explanation	model of
		throughput of 3.6 million gallons per year or greater). The location of the proposed project would be consistent with the CARB recommendations for locating new sensitive receptors. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include equipment exhaust and architectural coatings. Odors from these sources would be localized and generally confined to the immediate area surrounding the project site. The proposed project would utilize typical construction techniques, and the odors would be typical of most construction sites and temporary in nature. Construction of the proposed project would not cause an odor nuisance. According to the SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook, land uses and industrial operations that are associated with odor complaints include agricultural uses, wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting, refineries, landfills, dairies and fiberglass molding. The proposed land uses would not result in activities that create objectionable odors. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to objectionable odors.	
IV. E	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	Totaled to especializate each.	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A project would have a significant biological impact through the loss or destruction of individuals of a species or through the degradation of sensitive habitat. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area. The property is zoned (Q)C2-1VL-RIO and P-1VL-RIO for Community Commercial land uses and is located within the Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills Community Plan Area. Vegetation on the site consists of shrubs and street trees in the public right-of-way. Four of the street trees will be removed or disturbed during construction. Nesting birds are protected under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (Title 33, United States Code, Section 703 et seq., see also Title 50, Code of Federal Regulation, Part 10) and Section 3503 of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife Code. Thus, the project applicant shall comply with the regulatory control measures to ensure	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 23 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		that no significant impacts to nesting birds or sensitive biological species or habitat would occur. Therefore, the impacts would be reduced to less than significant.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if any riparian habitat or natural community would be lost or destroyed as a result of urban development. The project site does not contain any riparian habitat and does not contain any streams or water courses necessary to support riparian habitat. Therefore, the proposed project would not have any effect on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or the United States Fish and Wildlife Services (USFWS), and no impacts would occur.	
C.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if federally protected wetlands would be modified or removed by a project. The project site does not contain any federally protected wetlands, wetland resources, or other waters of the United States as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area and previously developed with commercial uses. Therefore, the proposed project would not have any effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means, and no impacts would occur.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would interfere with, or remove access to, a migratory wildlife corridor or impede use of native wildlife nursery sites. Due to the highly urbanized nature of the project site and surrounding area, the lack of a major water body, and the limited number of trees in the public right of way, the project site does not support habitat for native resident or migratory species or contain native nurseries. Therefore, the proposed project would not interfere with wildlife movement or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites, and no impact would occur.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 24 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be inconsistent with local regulations pertaining to biological resources. The proposed project would not conflict with any policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as the City of Los Angeles Protected Tree Ordinance (No. 177,404). The project site does not contain locally-protected biological resources, such as oak trees, Southern California black walnut, western sycamore, and California bay trees. The proposed project would be required to comply with the provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the California Fish and Game Code (CFGC). Both the MBTA and CDFW protects migratory birds that may use trees on or adjacent to the project site for nesting, and may be disturbed during construction of the proposed project. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance (e.g., oak trees or California walnut woodlands), and no impacts would occur.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project site and its vicinity are not part of any draft or adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with the provisions of any adopted conservation plan, and no impacts would occur.	
V. 0	CULTURAL RESOURCES	Coodi.	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the environmental context of, or remove identified historical resources. The project includes the demolition of a medical building constructed in 1979. The structure has not been identified as a historic resource by local or state agencies, and the project site has not been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, California Register of Historical Resources, the Los Angeles Historic-Cultural Monuments Register, and/or any local register. In addition, the site was not found to be a potential historic resource based on SurveyLA, the	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 25 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	impacts	Explanation	wedsures 1
		citywide survey of Los Angeles or the City's HistoricPlacesLA website, the City's online information and management system created to inventory Los Angeles' significant historic resources. It includes detailed information on many of the City's designated resources as well as surveyed properties recorded and published to date as part of SurveyLA, the citywide survey of Los Angeles. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if a known or unknown archaeological resource would be removed, altered, or destroyed as a result of the proposed development. Section 15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines defines significant archaeological resources as resources that meet the criteria for historical resources or resources that constitute unique archaeological resources. A project-related significant impact could occur if a project would significantly affect archaeological resources that fall under either of these categories. If archaeological resources are discovered during excavation, grading, or construction activities, work shall cease in the area of the find until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the find in accordance with federal, State, and local guidelines, including those set forth in California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. Per regulatory compliance measures, personnel of the proposed Modified Project shall not collect or move any archaeological materials and associated materials. Construction activity may continue unimpeded on other portions of the Project site. The found deposits would be treated in accordance with federal, State, and local guidelines, including those set forth in California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if excavation or construction activities associated with the proposed project would disturb paleontological or unique geological features. If paleontological resources are discovered during excavation, grading, or construction, the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety shall be notified immediately, and all work shall cease in	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 26 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		the area of the find until a qualified paleontologist evaluates the find. Construction activity may continue unimpeded on other portions of the Project site. The paleontologist shall determine the location, the time frame, and the extent to which any monitoring of earthmoving activities shall be required. The found deposits would be treated in accordance with federal, State, and local guidelines, including those set forth in California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if previously interred human remains would be disturbed during excavation of the project site. Human remains could be encountered during excavation and grading activities associated with the proposed project. While no formal cemeteries, other places of human interment, or burial grounds or sites are known to occur within the project area, there is always a possibility that human remains can be encountered during construction. If human remains are encountered unexpectedly during construction demolition and/or grading activities, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to California Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98. If human remains of Native American origin are discovered during project construction, compliance with state laws, which fall within the jurisdiction of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) (Public Resource Code Section 5097), relating to the disposition of Native American burials will be adhered to. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
VI. C	SEOLOGY AND SOILS		
a.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would cause personal injury or death or result in property damage as a result of a fault rupture occurring on the project site and if the project site is located within a State-designated Alquist-Priolo Zone or other designated fault zone. According to the California Department of Conservation Special Studies Zone Map,	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 27 of 57

	lmm a a 42	Fundamentian	Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		the project site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone or Fault Rupture Study Area. The proposed project would not expose people or structures to potential adverse effects resulting from the rupture of known earthquake faults. The Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act is intended to mitigate the hazard of surface fault rupture on structures for human occupancy. As no known active faults cross the subject site, the potential for future surface rupture onsite is expected to be very low. Therefore, no impacts would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would cause personal injury or death or resulted in property damage as a result of seismic ground shaking. The entire Southern California region is susceptible to strong ground shaking from severe earthquakes. Consequently, development of the proposed project could expose people and structures to strong seismic ground shaking. However, the proposed project would be designed and constructed in accordance with State and local Building Codes to reduce the potential for exposure of people or structures to seismic risks to the maximum extent possible. It is likely that the subject property will be shaken by future earthquakes produced in Southern California. However, the proposed project would be required to comply with the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG), which provides guidance for the evaluation and mitigation of earthquake-related hazards, and with the seismic safety requirements in the Uniform Building Code (UBC) and the LAMC. Compliance with such requirements would reduce seismic ground shaking impacts to the maximum extent practicable with current engineering practices. Therefore, impacts related to strong seismic ground shaking iwould be less than significant.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based upon the criteria established in the City of Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide, a significant impact may occur if a proposed project site is located within a liquefaction zone. Liquefaction is the loss of soil strength or stiffness due to a	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 28 of 57

	Impact2	Evalenation	Mitigation Measures
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		buildup of pore-water pressure during severe ground shaking. The geotechnical report by Soil Pacific Inc. dated October 24, 2016 indicated that the area is located within a designated Generalized Liquefaction susceptibility area; however, based on site exploration, modeling and computing the potential for seismic liquefaction is very low due to the documented presence of cohesive soils and the design of mat foundation is considered a remedy to reduce the potential of any settlement. In addition, all onsite grading and site preparation would comply with applicable provisions of Chapter IX, Division 70 of the LAMC, and any conditions imposed by the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety as part of a Soils Report Approval Letter. As such, the impact will be less than significant.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be implemented on a site that would be located in a hillside area with unstable geological conditions or soil types that would be susceptible to failure when saturated. According to the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, the Seismic Hazard Zones Map for this area shows the project site is not located within a landslide hazard zone. The project site and surrounding area are relatively flat. Therefore, the proposed project would not expose people or structures to potential effects resulting from landslides, and no impacts would occur.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if construction activities or future uses would result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil. Construction of the proposed project would result in ground surface disturbance during site clearance, excavation, and grading, which could create the potential for soil erosion to occur. In addition, excavation activities would be necessary to accommodate the proposed project, which would include two subterranean levels of parking. Construction activities would be performed in accordance with the requirements of the Los Angeles Building Code and the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) through the City's Stormwater Management Division. In addition, the	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 29 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		proposed project would be required to develop a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP would require implementation of an erosion control plan to reduce the potential for wind or waterborne erosion during the construction process. In addition, all onsite grading and site preparation would comply with applicable provisions of Chapter IX, Division 70 of the LAMC, and any conditions imposed by the City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety as part of a Soils Report Approval Letter. Therefore, a less than significant impact would occur with	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	respect to erosion or loss of topsoil. A significant impact would occur if any unstable geological conditions would result in any type of geological failure, including lateral spreading, off-site landslides, liquefaction, or collapse. Development of the proposed project would not have the potential to expose people and structures to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and landslide. Subsidence and ground collapse generally occur in areas with active groundwater withdrawal or petroleum production. The extraction of groundwater or petroleum from sedimentary source rocks can cause the permanent collapse of the pore space previously occupied by the removed fluid. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, Critical Facilities and Lifeline Systems, Exhibit E, the project site is not identified as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. The geotechnical report by Soil Pacific Inc. dated October 24, 2016 indicated that the area is located within a designated Generalized Liquefaction susceptibility area; however, based on site exploration, modeling and computing the potential for seismic liquefaction is very low due to the documented presence of cohesive soils and the design of mat foundation is	
		considered a remedy to reduce the potential of any settlement. The proposed project would be required to implement standard construction practices that would ensure that the integrity of the project site and the proposed structures is maintained. Construction will be required by the Department of Building and Safety	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 30 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
			3
		to comply with the City of Los Angeles Uniform Building Code (UBC) which is designed to assure safe construction and includes building foundation requirements appropriate to site conditions. With the implementation of the Building Code requirements and the Department of Building and Safety's Soils Report Approval Letter when issued, the potential for landslide lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse would be less-than-significant.	
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be built on expansive soils without proper site preparation or design features to provide adequate foundations for project buildings, thus, posing a hazard to life and property. Expansive soils have relatively high clay mineral and expand with the addition of water and shrink when dried, which can cause damage to overlying structures. However, the proposed project would be required to comply with the requirements of the UBC, LAMC, and other applicable building codes. Compliance with such requirements would reduce impacts related to expansive soils, and impacts would be less than significant.	
h.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A project would cause a significant impact if adequate wastewater disposal is not available. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area, where wastewater infrastructure is currently in place. The proposed project would connect to existing sewer lines that serve the project site and would not use septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	
VII.	GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Greenhouse gases (GHG) are those gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, both natural and human generated, that absorb and emit radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of terrestrial radiation emitted by the earth's surface, the atmosphere itself, and by clouds. The City has adopted the LA Green Plan to provide a citywide plan for achieving the City's GHG emissions targets, for both existing and future generation of GHG emissions. In order to implement the goal of improving energy conservation and efficiency, the Los	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 31 of 57

	1		Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		Angeles City Council has adopted multiple ordinances and updates to establish the current Los Angeles Green Building Code (LAGBC) (Ordinance No. 181,480). The LAGBC requires projects to achieve a 20 percent reduction in potable water use and wastewater generation. Through required implementation of the LAGBC, the proposed project would be consistent with local and statewide goals and policies aimed at reducing the generation of GHGs. Therefore, the proposed project's generation of GHG emissions would not make a cumulatively considerable contribution to emissions and impacts	
		would be less than significant.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	The California legislature passed Senate Bill (SB) 375 to connect regional transportation planning to land use decisions made at a local level. SB 375 requires the metropolitan planning organizations to prepare a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) in their regional transportation plans to achieve the per capita GHG reduction targets. For the SCAG region, the SCS is contained in the 2016-2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). The 2016-2040 RTP/SCS focuses the majority of new housing and job growth in high-quality transit areas and other opportunity areas on existing main streets, in downtowns, and commercial corridors, resulting in more opportunity for transit-oriented development. In addition, SB 743, adopted September 27, 2013, encourages land use and transportation planning decisions that reduce vehicle miles traveled, which contribute to GHG emissions, as required by AB 32. The project would provide infill residential development adjacent to a major transportation corridor (ie, Sherman Way), and would not interfere with SCAG's ability to implement the regional strategies outlined in the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS. The proposed project, therefore, would be consistent with statewide, regional and local goals and policies aimed at reducing GHG emissions and would result in a less-than-significant impact related to plans that target the reduction of GHG emissions.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 32 of 57

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures

VIII.	III. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. Construction of the proposed project would involve the temporary use of potentially hazardous materials, including vehicle fuels, oils, and transmission fluids. Operation of the project would involve the limited use and storage of common hazardous substances typical of those used in multi-family residential developments, including lubricants, paints, solvents, custodial products (e.g., cleaning supplies), pesticides and other landscaping supplies, and vehicle fuels, oils, and transmission fluids. No uses or activities are proposed that would result in the use or discharge of unregulated hazardous materials and/or substances, or create a public hazard through transport, use, or disposal. As a residential development, the proposed project would not involve large quantities of hazardous materials that would require routine transport, use, or disposal. With compliance to applicable standards and regulations and adherence to manufacturer's instructions related to the transport, use, or disposal of hazardous	
		materials, the proposed project would not create a significant hazard through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, and impacts would	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project created a significant hazard to the public or environment due to a reasonably foreseeable release of hazardous materials. The existing medical building on the project site was built in 1979 and therefore may contain asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) and lead-based paint (LBP). Demolition of this building would have the potential to release asbestos fibers into the atmosphere if such materials exist and they are not properly stabilized or removed prior to demolition activities. The removal of asbestos is regulated by SCAQMD Rule 1403; therefore, any asbestos found on-site would be required to be removed in accordance with	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 33 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	puss		oucure
		applicable regulations prior to demolition. Similarly, it is likely that lead-based paint is present in buildings constructed prior to 1979. Compliance with existing State laws regarding removal would be required, resulting in a less-than-significant impact.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Construction activities have the potential to result in the release, emission, handling, and disposal of hazardous materials within one-quarter mile of an existing school. The John A. Sutter Middle School is within 500 feet of the project site. The proposed project would provide for an infill development which consists of residential units. These types of uses would be expected to use and store very small amounts of hazardous materials, such as paints, solvents, cleaners, pesticides, etc. All hazardous materials within the project site would be acquired, handled, used, stored, transported, and disposed of in accordance with all applicable federal, State, and local requirements. With this compliance, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact.	
d.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project site is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) maintains a database (EnviroStor) that provides access to detailed information on hazardous waste permitted sites and corrective action facilities, as well as existing site cleanup information. EnviroStor also provides information on investigation, cleanup, permitting, and/or corrective actions that are planned, being conducted, or have been completed under DTSC's oversight. A review of EnviroStor did not identify any records of hazardous waste facilities on the project site. Therefore, the proposed project would not be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites or create a significant hazard to the public or the environment, and no impact would occur.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 34 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
e.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located in an airport land use plan area, or within two miles of any public or public use airports, or private air strips. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area, and no impacts would occur.	
f.	NO IMPACT	The project site is not located in an airport land use plan area, or within two miles of any public or public use airports, or private air strips. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area, and no impacts would occur.	
g.	NO IMPACT	The nearest emergency route is Winnetka Avenue, immediately adjacent to the east, and Sherman Way to the north of the project site. The proposed project would not require the closure of any public or private streets and would not impede emergency vehicle access to the project site or surrounding area. Additionally, emergency access to and from the project site would be provided in accordance with requirements of the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD). Therefore, the proposed project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, and no impact would occur.	
h.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project exposed people and structures to high risk of wildfire. The project site is located in a highly urbanized area of the City and the area surrounding the project site is completely developed. Accordingly, the project site and the surrounding area are not subject to wildland fires. Therefore, the proposed project would not expose people or structures to a risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, and no impact would occur.	
IX. F	YDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project discharges water that does not meet the quality standards of agencies which regulate surface water quality and water discharge into storm water drainage systems, or does not comply with all applicable regulations as governed by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). Stormwater runoff from the	

Mitigation

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 35 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	Impact?	proposed project has the potential to introduce small amounts of pollutants into the stormwater system. Pollutants would be associated with runoff from landscaped areas (pesticides and fertilizers) and paved surfaces (ordinary household cleaners). Thus, the proposed project would be required to comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) standards and the City's Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control regulations (Ordinance No. 172,176 and No. 173,494) to ensure pollutant loads from the project site are minimized for downstream receiving waters. The ordinances contain requirements for construction activities and operation of projects to integrate low impact development practices and standards for stormwater pollution mitigation, and maximize open, green and pervious space on all projects consistent with the City's landscape ordinance and other related requirements in the City's Development Best Management Practices (BMPs) Handbook. Conformance would be ensured during	A 1000 A
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	the City's building plan review and approval process. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impacts. A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially deplete groundwater or interferes with groundwater recharge. The proposed project would not require the use of groundwater at the project site. Potable water would be supplied by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP), which draws its water supplies from distant sources for which it conducts its own assessment and mitigation of potential environmental impacts. Therefore, the project would not require direct additions or withdrawals of groundwater. Excavation to accommodate subterranean levels is not proposed to a depth that would result in the interception of existing aquifers or penetration of the existing water table. Therefore, the impact on groundwater supplies or groundwater recharge would be less than significant.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 36 of 57

	IIIIpact:	Explanation	Measures
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the drainage pattern of an existing stream or river so that erosion or siltation would result. There are no streams or rivers located in the project vicinity. Project construction would temporarily expose on-site soils to surface water runoff. However, compliance with construction-related BMPs and/or the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) would control and minimize erosion and siltation. During project operation, storm water or any runoff irrigation waters would be directed into existing storm drains that are currently receiving surface water runoff under existing conditions. Significant alterations to existing drainage patterns within the project site and surrounding area would not occur. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impact related to the alteration of drainage patterns and on- or off-site erosion or siltation.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would substantially alter the drainage pattern of an existing stream or river such that flooding would result. There are no streams or rivers located in the project vicinity. During project operation, storm water or any runoff irrigation waters would be directed into existing storm drains that are currently receiving surface water runoff under existing conditions. Impermeable surfaces resulting from the development of the project would not substantially change the volume of stormwater runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. Accordingly, significant alterations to existing drainage patterns within the site and surrounding area would not occur. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impacts related to the alteration of drainage patterns and on- or off-site flooding.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if runoff water would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm drain systems serving the project site, or if the proposed project would substantially increase the probability that polluted runoff would reach the storm drain system. Site-generated surface water runoff would	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation Measures

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 37 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		continue to flow to the City's storm drain system. Any project that creates, adds, or replaces 500 square feet of impervious surface must comply with the Low impact Development (LID) Ordinance or alternatively, the City's Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), as an LAMC requirement to address water runoff and storm water pollution. Therefore, the proposed project would result in less-than-significant impacts related to existing storm drain capacities or water quality.	
f.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project includes potential sources of water pollutants that would have the potential to substantially degrade water quality. The proposed project does not include potential sources of contaminants, which could potentially degrade water quality and would comply with all federal, state and local regulations governing stormwater discharge. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
g.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain or would impede or redirect flood flows. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, Critical Facilities and Lifeline Systems, Exhibit F, the project site is not located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain. Therefore, the proposed project would not be located in such areas, and no impact related to flood zones would occur.	
h.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain or would impede or redirect flood flows. According to the Safety Element of the City of Los Angeles General Plan Safety Element of the Los Angeles City General Plan, Critical Facilities and Lifeline Systems, Exhibit F, the project site is not located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain. Therefore, the proposed project would not be located in such areas, and no impact related to flood zones would occur.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 38 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		,	
i.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within an area susceptible to flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. The project site and the surrounding areas are not located within a flood hazard area. Accordingly, the proposed project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding. Therefore, the proposed project would have no impact related to flooding.	
j.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be located within an area susceptible to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow. A seiche is an oscillation of a body of water in an enclosed or semi-enclosed basin, such as a reservoir, harbor, or lake. A tsunami is a great sea wave produced by a significant undersea disturbance. Mudflows result from the down slope movement of soil and/or rock under the influence of gravity. The geotechnical report by Soil Pacific Inc. dated October 24, 2016, has confirmed that the site is not located near any lake or reservoir, and is not mapped within a Tsunami Inundation Area; therefore the risk from seiches or tsunamis is considered nil. The project site and the surrounding areas are not located near a water body to be inundated by seiche. Similarly, the project site and the surrounding areas are located approximately 4.5 miles east of the Pacific Ocean. Therefore, the project would have no impact related to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow.	
X. L	AND USE AND PLANNING		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would be sufficiently large or configured in such a way so as to create a physical barrier within an established community. A physical division of an established community is caused by an impediment to through travel or a physical barrier, such as a new freeway with limited access between neighborhoods on either side of the freeway, or major street closures. The proposed project would not involve any street vacation or closure or result in development of new thoroughfares or highways. The proposed project, the construction of 154 residential units in an	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 39 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	pasti		ouounoo
		urbanized area in Los Angeles, would not divide an established community. Therefore a less than signficant significant impact would occur.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if a project is inconsistent with the General Plan or zoning designations currently applicable to the project site, and would cause adverse environmental effects, which the General Plan and zoning ordinance are designed to avoid or mitigate. The site is located within the Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland Hills - West Hills Community Plan Area. The site is zoned (Q)C2-1VL-RIO and P-1VL-RIO, with a General Plan land use designation of Community Commercial. The proposed project would be comprised of 154 residential dwelling units. The current zone is limited with a site specific Q condition which limits the use of the site to the existing medical building. The entitlement request to change the zone to an RAS4 Zone category, a corresponding zone within the Community Commercial land use designation, would allow a residential development density of 400 square feet per dwelling unit and Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 3:1. The proposed project would conform to the allowable land uses pursuant to the Los Angeles Municipal Code. The decision makers will determine whether discretionary requests will conflict with applicable plans/policies. Impacts related to land use have been mitigated elsewhere, or are addressed through compliance with existing regulations. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	
C.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project were located within an area governed by a habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. The project site is not subject to any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
-	MINERAL RESOURCES		
i a.	INO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in the loss of availability of known mineral resources of regional value or locally-important mineral resource recovery site. According to the Conservation Element of the City of L.A. General Plan, Mineral Resources, Exhibit A, the project site is not classified	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 40 of 57

	Impact2	Evalenation	Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
		by the City as containing significant mineral deposits nor is it designated for mineral extraction land use. In addition, the project site is not identified by the City as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of any known, regionally- or locally-valuable mineral resource, and no impact would occur.	
b.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in the loss of availability of known mineral resources of regional value or locally-important mineral resource recovery site. The project site is not classified by the City as containing significant mineral deposits nor is it designated for mineral extraction land use. In addition, the project site is not identified by the City as being located in an oil field or within an oil drilling area. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of any known, regionally- or locally-valuable mineral resource, and no impact would occur.	
XII.	NOISE		
a.		The City of Los Angeles has established policies and regulations concerning the generation and control of noise that could adversely affect its citizens and noise-sensitive land uses. Construction activity would result in temporary increases in ambient noise levels in the project area on an intermittent basis. Noise levels would fluctuate depending on the construction phase, equipment type and duration of use, distance between the noise source and receptor, and presence or absence of noise attenuation barriers. Construction noise for the project will cause a temporary increase in the ambient noise levels, but will be subject to the LAMC Sections 112.05 (Maximum Noise Level of Powered Equipment or Powered Hand Tools) and 41.40 (Noise Due to Construction, Excavation Work – When Prohibited) regarding construction hours and construction equipment noise thresholds. Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Saturday. The	XII-20 Mitigations will ensure that noise impacts during construction are reduced to less than significant.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 41 of 57

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures
Impacti		
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles General Plan Noise Element and Ordinance No. 161,574, which prohibits the emission of creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible. Furthermore, with the incorporation of the mitigation measures, the impacts from project-related construction noise generated will be less than significant. Construction activities can generate varying degrees of vibration, depending on the construction procedures and the type of construction equipment used. The operation of construction equipment generates vibrations that spread through the ground and diminish with distance from the source. Unless heavy construction activities are conducted extremely close (within a few feet) to the neighboring structures, vibrations from construction activities rarely reach the levels that damage structures. Construction activities for the proposed project have the potential to generate low levels of groundborne vibration. The proposed development of 154 residential units would not involve the use of stationary equipment that would result in high vibration levels as part of its operation, which are more typical for large commercial and industrial projects. Although groundborne vibration at the project site and immediate vicinity may currently result from heavy-duty vehicular travel (e.g., refuse trucks and transit buses) on the nearby local roadways, the proposed land use at the project site would not result in the increased use of these heavy-duty vehicles on the public roadways. While refuse trucks would be used for the removal of solid waste at the project site, these trips would typically only occur once a week and would not be different than those presently occurring in the vicinity of the project site. Overall, by complying with regulations and incorporating	XII-20 Mitigations will ensure that noise impacts during construction are reduced to less than significant.

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 42 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the project caused a substantial permanent increase in noise levels above existing ambient levels. New stationary sources of noise, such as rooftop mechanical HVAC equipment, would be installed on the proposed development. The design of the equipment will be required to comply with LAMC Section 112.02, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties by more than five dBA. With implementation of the regulations and incorporation of mitigation measures that address rooftop mechanical equipment, a substantial permanent increase for nearby sensitive receptors would be reduced to a less than significant level.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels. The Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide establishes the threshold for a significant impact of construction noise if construction activities lasting more than one day would increase the ambient noise levels by 10 dBA or more at any off-site noise-sensitive location. In addition, the Los Angeles CEQA Thresholds Guide also states that construction activities lasting more than ten days in a three-month period, which would increase ambient exterior noise levels by 5 dBA or more at a noise sensitive use, would also normally result in a significant impact. As discussed above, with incorporation of mitigation measures to ensure the proposed project would not result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity, impacts are expected to be less than significant for construction and operational noise and vibration.	XII-20 Mitigations will ensure that noise impacts during construction are reduced to less than significant.
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels from a public airport or public use airport. The proposed project is not located within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. Accordingly, the proposed project would	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 43 of 57

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
1		not expose people working or residing in	
		the project area to excessive noise levels	
		from a public airport or public use airport. Therefore, no impact would occur.	
f.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the	
"	INOTIMPACT	proposed project would expose people	
		residing or working in the project area to	
		excessive noise levels from a private	
		airstrip. The proposed project is not within	
1		the vicinity of a private airstrip.	
		Accordingly, the proposed project would	
		not expose people working or residing in the project area to excessive noise levels	
		from a private airstrip. Therefore, no	
		impact would occur.	
XIII.	POPULATION AND HOUSING		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A potentially significant impact would	
		occur if the proposed project would	
		induce substantial population growth that	
		would not have otherwise occurred as	
	<i>1</i>	rapidly or in as great a magnitude. The proposed project would result in the	
		development of 154 residential units. The	
	,	increase in residential population	
		resulting from the proposed project would	
		not be considered substantial in	
		consideration of anticipated growth for the community plan, and is within the	
		Southern California Association of	
		Governments' (SCAG) 2020 population	
		projections for the City in their 2016-2040	
	· ·	Regional Transportation Plan. The project	
		would meet a growing demand for housing near jobs and transportation	
		centers, consistent with State, regional	
		and local regulations designed to reduce	
		trips and greenhouse gas emissions.	
		Operation of the proposed project would	
		not induce substantial population growth in the project area, either directly or	
		indirectly. The physical secondary or	
		indirect impacts of population growth such	
		as increased traffic or noise have been	×
1		adequately mitigated in other portions of	
		this document. Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.	i
b.	NO IMPACT	A potentially significant impact would	
١٥.	IN TO IN A O I	occur if the proposed project would	
		displace a substantial quantity of existing	
		residences or a substantial number of	
		people. The proposed project would result	
		in the demolition of existing commercial spaces rather than residences, and	
		involves construction of 154 new	
		residential units, thereby accommodating	
		new residences. Because there is no	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 44 of 57

,	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	impaot.	Explanation	wedsures
		existing affordable housing on-site, the proposed project would not be subject to the tenant relocation and displacement requirements of the City. Therefore, the project has no impact on the displacement of housing units necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.	
C.	NO IMPACT	A potentially significant impact would	
		occur if the proposed project would displace a substantial quantity of existing residences or a substantial number of people. The proposed project would result in the demolition of existing commercial spaces rather than residences, and involves construction of 154 new residential units, thereby creating new residences. Because there is no existing affordable housing on-site, the proposed project would not be subject to the tenant relocation and displacement requirements of the City. Therefore, the project has no impact on the displacement of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.	
XIV.	PUBLIC SERVICES		
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD) could not adequately serve the proposed project, necessitating a new or physically altered station. The project site and the surrounding area are currently served by one LAFD station Fire Station 72, located at 6811 De Soto Avenue (approximately 1.4 miles from the project site). The proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 residential units, which could increase the number of emergency calls and demand for LAFD fire and emergency services. To maintain the level of fire protection and emergency services, the LAFD may require additional fire personnel and equipment. However, given that there is an existing fire station in close proximity to the project site, it is not anticipated that there would be a need to build a new or expand an existing fire station to serve the proposed project and maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for fire protection. By analyzing data from previous years and continuously monitoring current data regarding response times, types of incidents, and call frequencies, LAFD can shift resources to meet local demands for	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 45 of 57

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
1	I	fire protection and emergency services.	1
		The proposed project would neither	
		create capacity or service level problems	
		nor result in substantial adverse physical	
		impacts associated with the provision of	v.
		new or physically altered governmental	
		facilities in order to maintain acceptable	
		service ratios, response times or other	
		performance objectives for fire protection.	
		Therefore, the proposed project would	
		result in a less-than-significant impact.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH	A significant impact would occur if the	XIV-20, XIV-30
	MITIGATION INCORPORATED	Los Angeles Police Department	Mitigation measures will ensure that
		(LAPD) could not adequately serve the	the impact to policing services are
		proposed project, necessitating a new	minimized by requiring design which
1		or physically altered station. The	promotes security, as well as
1		proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 residential units and	construction barriers to minimize vandalism.
		commercial use which could increase	vanualisiii.
		demand for police service. The project	
		site and the surrounding area are	
		currently served by LAPD's Topanga	
		Community Police Station located at	
		21501 Schoenborn St. (approximately	
1		2.2 miles from the project site). Prior to	
1		the issuance of a building permit, the	
1		LAPD would review the project plans	
		to ensure that the design of the project	
		follows the LAPD's Design Out Crime	
		Program, an initiative that introduces	
		the techniques of Crime Prevention	
		Through Environmental Design (CPTED) to all City departments	
		beyond the LAPD. Through the	
1	,	incorporation of these techniques into	
1		the project design, in combination with	
		the safety features already	
		incorporated into the proposed	
		project, the proposed project would	
		neither create capacity/service level	
		problems nor result in substantial	
		adverse physical impacts associated	
	,	with the provision of new or physically	
		altered governmental facilities in order	
		to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance	
		objectives for police protection.	
		Regarding operations, in the event a	
		situation should arise requiring	
		increased staffing or patrol units,	
		additional resources can be called in.	
		In addition, incorporation of mitigation	
		measures during construction, such as	
		the installation of temporary	
		construction fencing, can help screen	
		the construction activity from view and	
1	1	keep unpermitted persons from	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 46 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		entering the construction area. Therefore, with incorporation of the mitigation measures, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	police protection services. A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would include substantial employment or population growth, which could generate a demand for school facilities that would exceed the capacity of the school district. The proposed project would add 154 residential units, which could increase enrollment at schools that service the area. However, development of the proposed project would be subject to California Government Code Section 65995, which would allow LAUSD to collect impact fees from developers of new residential and commercial space. Conformance to California Government Code Section 65995 is deemed to provide full and complete mitigation of impacts to school facilities. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact to public schools.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) is responsible for the provision, maintenance, and operation of public recreational and park facilities and services in the City. The proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 units, which could result in increased demand for parks and recreation facilities. Pursuant to LAMC Section 12.33, the applicant shall pay the applicable fee for the construction of dwelling units. Therefore, the proposed project would not create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision or new or altered parks facilities. Accordingly, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact on park facilities.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 47 of 57

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would result in substantial employment or population growth that could generate a demand for other public facilities, including libraries, which exceed the capacity available to serve the project site, necessitating new or physically altered public facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental impacts. The proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 residential units, which could result in increased demand for library services and resources of the Los Angeles Public Library System. However, the proposed project would not create substantial capacity or service level problems that would require the provision of new or expanded public facilities in order to maintain an acceptable level of service for libraries and other public facilities. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact on other public facilities.	
VV	RECREATION	impact on other public facilities.	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) is responsible for the provision, maintenance, and operation of public recreational and park facilities and services in the City. The proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 units, which could result in increased demand for parks and recreation facilities. Pursuant to LAMC Section 12.33, the applicant shall pay the applicable fee for the construction of dwelling units. Therefore, the proposed project would not create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision or new or altered parks facilities. Accordingly, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact on park facilities.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed the capacity or capability of the local park system to serve the proposed project. The City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) is responsible for the provision,	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 48 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	•		
		maintenance, and operation of public recreational and park facilities and services in the City. The proposed project would result in a net increase of 154 units, which could result in increased demand for parks and recreation facilities. Pursuant to LAMC Section 12.33, the applicant shall pay the applicable fee for the construction of dwelling units. Therefore, the proposed project would not create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial physical impacts associated with the provision or new or altered parks facilities. Accordingly, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact on park	
		facilities.	
-	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	I	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the project conflicts with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. According to the Traffic Study Assessment form reviewed by the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) on October 5, 2017, the project was analyzed for a 175 dwelling unit apartment building, which is larger than the current iteration. At the time, DOT determined that the project would generate 1164 daily trips with 89 a.m. peak hour trips and 109 p.m. peak hour trips. As the project is removing an existing medical office building, the project is credited with a reduction of 2258 daily trips with a reduction of 149 a.m. peak hour trips and a reduction of 223 p.m. peak hour trips. Based on the traffic credits, the proposed project will not create an increase in traffic trips, in fact, DOT noted on the form that any multifamily project on this site, proposing less than 400 dwelling units, would not require a traffic study. As the project was credited the trips from the existing medical office building, and as the new residential building will have less estimated daily trips than the previous use, average daily traffic associated with the proposed project is estimated to be less than significant according to LADOT, as it does not meet their threshold for traffic impact analysis. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 49 of 57

	illipact:	LAPIdilation	Wicasarcs
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project individually or cumulatively exceeded the service standards of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Congestion Management Program (CMP). This program was created Statewide as a result of Proposition 111 and has been implemented locally by Metro. The CMP for Los Angeles County requires that the traffic impacts of individual development projects of potential regional significance be analyzed. Specific arterial roadways and all State highways comprise the CMP system, and a total of 164 intersections are identified for monitoring throughout Los Angeles County. The local CMP requires that all CMP monitoring intersections be analyzed where a project would likely add more than 50 trips during either the a.m. or p.m. peak hours. The project was credited the trips from the existing medical office building, and as the new residential building will have fewer estimated daily trips that the previous use, (approximately 1094 fewer daily trips, 60 fewer a.m. peak hour trips, and 114 fewer p.m. peak hour trips) the average daily traffic associated with the proposed project is estimated to be less than significant.	
c.	NO IMPACT LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would cause a change in air traffic patterns that would result in a substantial safety risk. The proposed project does not include an aviation component or include features that would interfere with air traffic patterns. Therefore, no impact would occur	XVI-40, XVI-50, XVI-80
3	MITIGATION INCORPORATED	proposed project would substantially increase an existing hazardous design feature or introduce incompatible uses to the existing traffic pattern. As discussed above, LADOT confirmed that the project did not meet the threshold for a traffic study and therefore identified no significant impacts regarding transportation or traffic. The construction of new sidewalks may obstruct pedestrian access and safety. If the new sidewalks are not properly designed and constructed, it could potentially conflict with pedestrian circulation in	Mitigation measures will ensure the project does not adversely affect pedestrian movement during construction.

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation Measures

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 50 of 57

•	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
			I Modeuros
		the area. The proposed project would not include unusual or hazardous design features and the proposed project is compatible with existing uses. Furthermore, the project may have potentially significant impacts on pedestrians on the street during construction phases. With implementation of the referenced mitigation measure, the potential impacts related to hazards would be reduced to less-than-significant.	
e.	NO IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the project design threatened the ability of emergency vehicles to access and serve the project site or adjacent uses. The	
		nearest emergency/disaster routes to the project site is Winnetka Avenue, immediately adjacent to the site, and Sherman Way to the north (City of Los Angeles, General Plan Safety Element Exhibit H, Critical Facilities & Lifeline Systems, 1996). The proposed project would not require the closure of any public or private streets and would not impede emergency vehicle access to the project site or surrounding area. Additionally, emergency access to and from the project site would be provided in accordance with requirements of the Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD). Therefore, the proposed project would not result in inadequate emergency access,	
f.	NO IMPACT	and no impact would occur. A significant impact may occur if the proposed project would conflict with adopted policies or involve modification of existing alternative transportation facilities located on- or off-site. The proposed project would not require the disruption of public transportation services or the alteration of public transportation routes. Since the proposed project would not modify or conflict with any alternative transportation policies, plans or programs, it would have no impact on such programs.	
-	TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES		
a.	NO IMPACT	Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) established a formal consultation process for California Native American Tribes to identify potential significant impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources, as defined in Public Resources Code §21074, as part of CEQA. As specified in AB 52, lead agencies must provide notice inviting	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 51 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
	·		
	Impact?	consultation to California Native American tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of a proposed project if the Tribe has submitted a request in writing to be notified of proposed projects. The Tribe must respond in writing within 30 days of the City's AB 52 notice. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided a list of Native American groups and individuals who might have knowledge of the religious and/or cultural significance of resources that may be in and near the Project site. An informational letter was mailed to a total of 10 Tribes known to have resources in this area, on February 23, 2017, describing the Project and requesting any information regarding resources that may exist on or near the Project site. On March 13, 2017, one	Measures
		tribal response was received from the Gabrielleno Band of Mission Indians-Kihz Nation who requested a consultation. On August 3, 2017, a Consultation meeting was held by telephone and attended by Department of City Planning staff and members of the tribe. During the Consultation, the Tribe provided written materials and oral history in support of the subject property being within a sensitive area warranting monitoring during grading, in order to protect any tribal cultural resources that may be present. On June 6, 2018, the City forwarded a more site-specific Cultural Records Report (consisting of a Sacred Lands File and South Central Coastal Information Center [SCCIC] record search) which found that no resources were found within	
		the area of potential project effect. The City requested that any further evidence from the Tribe be received by June 20, 2018. On July 5, 2018, given a lack of response from the Tribe, the City concluded the consultation with the Gabrielleno Band of Mission Indians-Kihz Nation. No known Tribal Cultural Resourcse were identified onsite, and no impact is anticipated.	
b.	NO IMPACT	Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52) established a formal consultation process for California Native American Tribes to identify potential significant impacts to Tribal Cultural Resources, as defined in Public Resources Code §21074, as part of CEQA. As specified in AB 52, lead agencies must provide notice inviting	

Mitigation

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 52 of 57

		Mitigation
Impact?	Explanation	Measures

consultation to California Native American tribes that are traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of a proposed project if the Tribe has submitted a request in writing to be notified of proposed projects. The Tribe must respond in writing within 30 days of the City's AB 52 notice. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided a list of Native American groups and individuals who might have knowledge of the religious and/or cultural significance of resources that may be in and near the Project site. An informational letter was mailed to a total of 10 Tribes known to have resources in this area, on February 23, 2017, describing the Project and requesting any information regarding resources that may exist on or near the Project site. On March 13, 2017, one tribal response was received from the Gabrielleno Band of Mission Indians-Kihz Nation who requested a consultation. On August 3, 2017, a Consultation meeting was held by telephone and attended by Department of City Planning staff and members of the tribe. During the Consultation, the Tribe provided written materials and oral history in support of the subject property being within a sensitive area warranting monitoring during grading, in order to protect any tribal cultural resources that may be present. On June 6, 2018, the City forwarded a more site-specific Cultural Records Report (consisting of a Sacred Lands File and South Central Coastal Information Center [SCCIC] record search) which found that no resources were found within the area of potential project effect. The City requested that any further evidence from the Tribe be received by June 20, 2018. On July 5, 2018, given a lack of response from the Tribe, the City concluded the consultation with the Gabrielleno Band of Mission Indians-Kihz Nation. No known Tribal Cultural Resourcse were identified onsite, and no impact is anticipated.

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB). All wastewater from the project would be

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		treated according to requirements of the NPDES permit authorized by the LARWQCB. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to wastewater treatment requirements.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) conducts water planning based on forecast population growth. The addition of 154 units as a result of the proposed project would be consistent with Citywide growth, and, therefore, the project demand for water is not anticipated to require new water supply entitlements and/or require the expansion of existing or construction of new water treatment facilities beyond those already considered in the LADWP 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). Prior to any construction activities, the project applicant would be required to coordinate with the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) to determine the exact wastewater conveyance requirements of the proposed project, and any upgrades to the wastewater lines in the vicinity of the project site that are needed to adequately serve the proposed project would be undertaken as part of the project. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to water or wastewater infrastructure.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase surface water runoff, resulting in the need for expanded off-site storm water drainage facilities. Development of the proposed project would maintain existing drainage patterns; site-generated surface water runoff would continue to flow to the City's storm drain system. The proposed project would not create or contribute runoff water that would exacerbate any existing deficiencies in the storm drain system or	
		provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. Therefore, the proposed project would result in a less-than-significant impact related to existing storm drain capacities.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 54 of 57

	HAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) conducts water planning based on forecast population growth. The addition of 154 residential units as a result of the proposed project would be consistent with Citywide growth, and, therefore, the project demand for water is not anticipated to require new water supply entitlements and/or require the expansion of existing or construction of new water treatment facilities beyond those already considered in the LADWP 2010 Urban Water Management Plan. Prior to any construction activities, the project applicant would be required to coordinate with the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) to determine the exact wastewater conveyance requirements of the proposed project, and any upgrades to the wastewater lines in the vicinity of the project site that are needed to adequately serve the proposed project would be undertaken as part of the project. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to water or wastewater infrastructure.	
LESS TI	HAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project would increase water consumption or wastewater generation to such a degree that the capacity of facilities currently serving the project site would be exceeded. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) conducts water planning based on forecast population growth. The addition of 154 units as a result of the proposed project would be consistent with Citywide growth, and, therefore, the project demand for water is not anticipated to require new water supply entitlements and/or require the expansion of existing or construction of new water treatment facilities beyond those already considered in the LADWP 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). Prior to any construction activities, the project applicant would be required to coordinate with the City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) to determine the exact wastewater conveyance requirements of	

Explanation

Impact?

Mitigation Measures

			Mitigation
	Impact?	Explanation	Measures /
		the proposed project, and any upgrades to the wastewater lines in the vicinity of the project site that are needed to adequately serve the proposed project would be undertaken as part of the project. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to water or wastewater infrastructure.	
f.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project's solid waste generation exceeded the capacity of permitted landfills. The Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) and private waste management companies are responsible for the collection, disposal, and recycling of solid waste within the City, including the project site. Solid waste during the operation of the proposed project is anticipated to be collected by the BOS and private waste haulers, respectively. As the City's own landfills have all been closed and are non-operational, the destinations are private landfills. In compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 939, the project applicant would be required to implement a Solid Waste Diversion Program and divert at least 50 percent of the solid waste generated by the project from the applicable landfill site. The proposed project would also comply with all federal, State, and local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to	
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact would occur if the proposed project's solid waste generation exceeded the capacity of permitted landfills. The Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation (BOS) and private waste management companies are responsible for the collection, disposal, and recycling of solid waste within the City, including the project site. Solid waste during the operation of the proposed project is anticipated to be collected by the BOS and private waste haulers, respectively. As the City's own landfills have all been closed and are non-operational, the destinations are private landfills. In compliance with Assembly Bill (AB) 939, the project applicant would be required to implement a Solid Waste Diversion Program and divert at least 50 percent of the solid waste generated by the project	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 56 of 57

	Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
		from the applicable landfill site. The proposed project would also comply with all federal, State, and local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, the proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact related to solid waste.	
XIX.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIF	ICANCE	
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	Based on the analysis in this Initial Study, the proposed project would not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal. Implementation of the mitigation measures identified and compliance with existing regulations would reduce impacts to less-than-significant levels.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project, in conjunction with the related projects, would result in impacts that are less than significant when viewed separately but significant when viewed together. Although projects may be constructed in the project vicinity, the cumulative impacts to which the proposed project would contribute would be less than significant.	
C.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED	A significant impact may occur if the proposed project has the potential to result in significant impacts, as discussed in the preceding sections. All potential impacts of the proposed project have been identified, and mitigation measures have been prescribed, where applicable, to reduce all potential impacts to less-than-significant levels. Upon implementation of mitigation measures identified and compliance with existing regulations, the proposed project would not have the potential to result in substantial adverse impacts on human beings either directly or indirectly.	

ENV-2016-3865-MND Page 57 of 57